

Oscar Brandlow  
against

Willis Brundage, administrator of David Griffin et al. W. H. Butler, J. D. & S. L. Dolis  
On motion of the defendant by their counsel leave is granted them to relate the depositions of the witnesses  
or any part of them.

Donald Dolan, son of William Brundage et al. Mr. F. G. Godinger who goes in behalf of themselves and  
the creditors of William Mallory et al. who may prove their debt & liability to the expenses of this suit. Dfto.  
against

James M. Mangum, son of William Mallory, Sally Mallory, William Day his wife  
Mary Ann L. W. Mason

The bill of complaint having been taken for confessed at the date of the defendants James H. Day,  
son of William Mallory, Sally Mallory and L. W. Mason, and it appearing to the court that the  
plaintiffs have pursued the mode prescribed by law against the defendants as to the defendants William  
Day and his wife Mary Ann, who still fail to appear and answer, and the cause coming on to be  
heard on behalf and behalf of themselves and themselves filed therewith, was argued by Counsel. On consideration whereof the  
court doth adjudge and decree that a Commission of this Court make the following accounts  
first. 1<sup>st</sup> an account of the transactions of James H. Mangum as owner of William Mallory, showing  
what amount, if any, in his hands was derived from the proceeds of sale of property coming in the due  
of trust created to L. W. Mason trustee by William Mallory, bearing date the 17<sup>th</sup> October 1919  
a copy of which is filed in the papers of this cause - 2<sup>d</sup> an account of the absolute and annual  
value of the real estate of which William Mallory died, bequeathed and professed - 3<sup>d</sup> an account  
of all outstanding claims against the estate of William Mallory and their dignity, specifying such  
as are provided for in the deed of trust above named, which said accounts the said Commissioner  
is directed to audit, take and report to court with very another specially stated which may be required  
by either of the parties, or which he may deem pertinent.

John E. Appelwhite, Benjamin E. Appelwhite and Charles  
H. Appelwhite infants of whom are to be seen by Benjamin  
Appelwhite and their wife friend

against

John E. Appelwhite with his administratrix of a Natural  
Guardian of Lucy J. Appelwhite et al. and May R.  
Simmons an infant

Dfto.

The second bill of the plaintiffs having been taken for confessed at the date of the defendants except the first defendant May R. Simmons as  
to all the defendants except the first defendant May R. Simmons as  
the cause coming on to be heard on the papers from July now and until  
said amended bill is filed and answer of the said May R. Simmons  
by her guardian ad litem this day first, together with the report of the  
said Godinger and Shadys and the report of Commissioner Howard  
both of which said reports are made pursuant to the decree of this  
May 1889 and Statement therewith filed (marked B) and also the  
ment (marked C) to which said reports no objections have been filed  
and are argued by Counsel. On Consideration whereof the Court doth  
order and command said reports and both adjudge over and direct the  
John E. Appelwhite pay to the legal guardian of May R. Simmons and  
sum of \$100.00 with interest thereon from the 1<sup>st</sup> of August 1888  
and to appearing before Court that the infant plaintiff John E.  
Appelwhite for Benjamin E. Appelwhite and Charles E. Appelwhite  
have no guardian to receive their estate it is found that the said John